

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/177,572	10/23/1998	YOSHIHIRO TERASHIMA	35.C13035	3325
5514 7	590 12/16/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK, 1		NCIVEN VEVINIM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	21
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	09/177,572	TERASHIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 s	<u>September 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are pending in the appli	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority arrabi ob orono.	3	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/177,572

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/20/2002 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being incomplete. This claim depends on claim 1 that has been cancelled. For purposes of the art rejection below, claim 18 have been examined as if it depends on claim 17.

- 3. Claim 17 recites the limitation "said frame memory unit" (last paragraph) in "a frame memory section." There is unmatched basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make

Application/Control Number: 09/177,572

Art Unit: 2674

and/or use the invention. Specification only discloses "the size of the FIFO memory section 3 will be at least 32bits x 16 (see page 14, line 9, lines 24-25), and 32bits x 32 (see page 10, line 3). However, the application does not disclose expressly what size suitable of a first FIFO section for storing image data inputted, so as enable the examiner understanding the claimed invention.

6. Claims 17 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cross (US 6,108,015) teaches internal frame buffer (104a) goes through a FIFO (201), FIFO (202) and from external frame buffer (104b) (figure 2, col. 5, lines 35-38). Wu et al (US 6,329,997) teaches frame buffer 14 goes through FIFOs (16, 18, 20), 3-D drawing engine (12) to FIFOs (22, 24) (figure 1, col. 3, lines 10-20).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reached on 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 09/177,572

Art Unit: 2674

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2674

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600